

9 September 1998

UMN-TH-1717/98
hep-th/9809066

Antiferromagnetic $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg Chain and the Two-flavor Massless Schwinger Model

Yutaka Hosotani

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN
55455, USA

Abstract. An antiferromagnetic $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg chain is mapped to the two-flavor massless Schwinger model at $\theta = \pi$. The electromagnetic coupling constant and velocity of light in the Schwinger model are determined in terms of the Heisenberg coupling and lattice spacing in the spin chain system.

In the previous paper [1] we have shown that an $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin chain

$$H_{\text{chain}}(\vec{S}) = J \sum \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} \quad (J > 0) \quad (1)$$

corresponds to the two-flavor massless Schwinger model (two-dimensional QED) in a uniform background charge density:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QED}}(A_\mu, \psi) = -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \sum_{a=1}^2 c \bar{\psi}^{(a)} \gamma^\mu \left(i\hbar \partial_\mu - \frac{1}{c} A_\mu \right) \psi^{(a)} + \frac{1}{\ell} A_0. \quad (2)$$

Here ℓ is the lattice spacing in the spin chain model. The coupling constant e and velocity of light c are related to J and ℓ by $e = k\sqrt{J/\ell}$ and $c = 2\ell J/\pi\hbar$, where k is a constant of $O(1)$ left undetermined. The Schwinger model contains one more parameter. Its ground state is the θ vacuum. The value of θ also remained undetermined. In this Letter we shall show that $k \sim 2.225$ and $\theta = \pi$ with the aid of the result from the Bethe ansatz.[2]

The correspondence between the spin chain and Schwinger model has been noticed by many authors.[3] Deductive derivation was achieved in ref. [1]. The original spin degree is transformed to the flavor index of the Dirac field ψ , whereas the odd-even site index of the lattice becomes the spin degree of the Dirac field. The finiteness of the lattice spacing ℓ must be taken into account in establishing the correspondence. In the naive continuum limit $\ell \rightarrow 0$ with c kept fixed, the charge e diverges as $1/\ell$ and all massive modes of the Schwinger model decouple. These massive modes play an important role when the correspondence is applied to the spin ladder problem.

The determination of the charge e , or the parameter k , was attempted in [4] following the argument of [1]. More recently Berruto *et al* have examined the correspondence between the spin chain model and the two-flavor lattice Schwinger model and have found that both model have the low energy excitation spectrum of the same pattern.[5]

To determine k and θ , first notice that the Schwinger model (2) is bosonized in terms of two scalar fields.[6, 7] One of them remains massless, which corresponds to the gapless excitation in the Bethe ansatz solution. The other has a mass μ where $\mu c^2 = (2e^2 \hbar c / \pi)^{1/2}$. In the two-flavor massless Schwinger model the $SU(2)$ chiral condensate $\langle \bar{\psi}^{(a)} \psi^{(b)} \rangle_\theta$ vanishes. However the $U(1)$ chiral condensate is nonvanishing: [7]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\psi}^{(1)} \psi^{(1)} \bar{\psi}^{(2)} \psi^{(2)} \rangle_\theta &= \langle \psi_L^{(1)\dagger} \psi_L^{(2)\dagger} \psi_R^{(2)} \psi_R^{(1)} \rangle_\theta + \langle \psi_R^{(1)\dagger} \psi_R^{(2)\dagger} \psi_L^{(2)} \psi_L^{(1)} \rangle_\theta \\ &= \left(\frac{e^\gamma}{4\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\mu c}{\hbar} \right)^2 (e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}) \\ &= \frac{e^{2\gamma} k^2}{8\pi^2 \ell^2} \cos \theta . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

On the other hand the scalar density operator $\bar{\psi}^{(a)} \psi^{(a)}$ is related to the spin operator.[1] Recall that when a spin operator is written as $\vec{S}_n = c_n^\dagger \frac{1}{2} \vec{\sigma} c_n$,

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{2\ell} \psi_1^{(a)} = (-i)^{2s-1} c_{2s-1,a} & \text{at odd site} \\ \sqrt{2\ell} \psi_2^{(a)} = (-i)^{2s} c_{2s,a} & \text{at even site} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

in the representation $(\gamma^0, \gamma^1, \gamma^5) = (\sigma_3, i\sigma_2, \sigma_1)$. With the half-filling condition $c_n^\dagger c_n = 1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}^{(1)} \psi^{(1)} \\ \bar{\psi}^{(2)} \psi^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \pm \frac{1}{2\ell} (S_{2s-1}^z - S_{2s}^z) . \quad (5)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\psi}^{(1)} \psi^{(1)} \bar{\psi}^{(2)} \psi^{(2)} \rangle_\theta &= -\frac{1}{4\ell^2} \langle (S_{2s-1}^z - S_{2s}^z)^2 \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{6\ell^2} \left\{ \frac{3}{4} - \langle \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} \rangle \right\} \\ &= -\frac{1}{6\ell^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \ln 2 \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In the last equality we have made use of the exact result from the Bethe ansatz $\langle \vec{S}_n \cdot \vec{S}_{n+1} \rangle = -\ln 2 + \frac{1}{4}$. [2]

We equate (3) and (6). The original spin chain model is parity invariant, which implies that the Schwinger model with only $\theta = 0$ or π corresponds to the spin chain. As (6) is negative,

$$\theta = \pi \quad , \quad k = \frac{\pi}{e^\gamma} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{4}{3} \ln 2} = 2.225 . \quad (7)$$

This is the result of the paper. The excitation energy of the massive mode in the Schwinger model is $\mu c^2 = 2kJ/\pi = 1.416 J$, whereas the Compton wave length is $\hbar/\mu c = \ell/k = .449 \ell$.

In ref. [1] this correspondence was applied to the two-leg spin ladder system. In experimental samples $J' \sim J$ and the spin gap $\Delta_{\text{spin}} \sim .5J'$. [8] It was found that the spin gap is given by $\Delta_{\text{spin}} = 0.25k|J'|$ where J' is the interchain Heisenberg coupling when $|J'| \ll J$. With the k value substituted this yields $0.556|J'|$, which should be compared with the Monte Carlo result $0.41|J'|$. [9] The discrepancy is attributed to the approximation employed in the spin ladder problem.

When $J' = 0$, there appear two gapless modes, say χ_1 and χ_2 , associated with two chains. When $J' \neq 0$, these gapless modes acquire gaps. In general two combinations $\chi_{\pm} = \chi_1 \pm \chi_2$ are expected to acquire different gaps. However, in the approximation scheme used in ref. [1], χ_+ and χ_- remain degenerate. Shelton *et al* have examined the two-leg spin ladder in a slightly different approximation scheme and have found that the two modes acquire different gaps, though they could not determine their absolute magnitude.[10] It is a challenging problem to improve the approximation to find more accurate excitation spectrum in the spin ladder system.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Paul Wiegmann and Manfred Sigrist for helpful discussions, and the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics for its hospitality where most of this work was done. This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under contracts DE-FG02-94ER-40823.

References

- [1] Hosotani Y 1997 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** L757; Corrigendum **31** 7415 (cond-mat/9707129)
- [2] Bethe H 1931 *Z. Phys.* **71** 205
Hulthen L 1938 *Arkiv Mat. Astron. Fysik* **26A** No. 11.
- [3] Wiegmann P 1992 *Prog. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.* **107** 243
Diamantini M C, Sodano P, Langmann E and Semenoff G, 1993 *Nucl. Phys.* **B406** 595;
Itoi C and Mukaida H, 1994 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27** 4695;
Hosotani Y, in the Proceedings of *The Second International Sakharov Conference on Physics*, page 445, Moscow, Russia, 20-23 May 1996. (Edited by I.M. Dremin and A.M. Semikhatov, World Scientific, 1997). (hep-th/9606167).
- [4] Ichinose I and Kayama Y, cond-mat/9711202
- [5] Berruto F, Grignani G, Semenoff G W, Sodano P, preprint hep-th/9809006.
- [6] Hetrick J, Hosotani Y, and Iso S, 1995 *Phys. Lett.* **B350** 92; 1996 *Phys. Rev.* **D53** 7255.
- [7] Hosotani Y and Rodriguez R, hep-th/9804205 . To appear in *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* .
- [8] Hiroi Z, Azuma M, Takano M and Bando Y, 1991 *J. Solid State Chem.* **95** 230
Eccleston R S, Barnes T, Brody J and Johnson J W, 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 2626
Azuma M, Hiroi Z, Takano M, Ishida K and Kitaoka Y 1994 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** 3463;
- [9] Greven M, Birgeneau R J and Wiese U J, 1996 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77** 1865.
- [10] Shelton D G, Nersisyan A A and Tsvetlik A M, 1996 *Phys. Rev.* **B53** 8521
Kishine J and Fukuyama H, 1997 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **66** 26.